# **ACTor: IGVC Self-Drive Design Report**

# Lawrence Technological University

Team Captain:	James Golding	jgolding@ltu.edu	
Team Members:	Justin Dombecki	jdombecki@ltu.edu	
	Thomas Brefeld	tbrefeld@ltu.edu	
	Giuseppe "Joe" DeRose	gderose@ltu.edu	
	Joseph Schulte	jschulte@ltu.edu	
Advisors	Mitchell Pleune	mpleune@ltu.edu	
	Nicholas Paul	npaul@ltu.edu	
	ChanJin "CJ" Chung	cchung@ltu.edu	



Faculty Advisor Statement

I, CJ Chung & Nicholas Paul, of the Department of Math and Computer Science at Lawrence Technological University, certify that the design and development on the ACTor research platform by the individuals on the design team is significant and is either for-credit or equivalent to what might be awarded credit in a senior design course.

Chan Sin Chung

Aulum Punl

Date: June 2, 2021

# 1. Introduction and Overview

A Polaris GEM e2 based Autonomous Campus Transport (ACTor) is an autonomous vehicle research platform developed by university students to research and develop new technologies for autonomous systems. The vehicle is equipped with Dataspeed's throttle-by-wire, brake-by-wire, steer-by-wire, and shift-by-wire systems. Computers include an Intel NUC, a primary computer with GPU, and Raspberry PI 3s. The sensor suite used for perception consists of a Velodyne 16-Beam 3D LIDAR, Piksi multi real-time kinematics GNSS, and front-facing Mako PoE camera. Some modules from the Robotic Tool Kit (RTK) software, provided by the US Army Ground Vehicle Systems Center (GVSC) were adapted to provide basic sensing capabilities. The ACTor vehicle is capable of performing IGVC self-drive tasks such as lane following, obstacle avoidance, waypoint navigation, and object detection. This report describes the autonomous system designed and the methodologies used for the system development and integration.

Major changes from 2019 IGVC system [1] include: more RTK nodes are adopted, LED panel with status messages and debugging code, Updated Piksi GNSS with GPS heading info, Deep Learning models, new X-by-wire system with ULC, new simple\_sim. The system hardware is simplified by removing Autolive radars and ZED stereo camera. The radars were not needed since the 3D Lidar gave enough data to solve all the IGVC tasks. Automatic Parameter Adjustment function was deprecated since ROS dynamic reconfigure functions were practically effective to solve IGVC Self-Drive challenges.

# 2. Design Process

#### Agile Development Methodology (Need to Keep, since Agile is the chosen methodology by Army)

Our team adopted the concept of agile development methodology to allow rapid adaptation to changing user requirements, focus on the most important tasks from the user's point of view, and promote rapid delivery of preliminary results and early detection of errors. The concept of the Agile methodology is shown in Figure 1.



Figure 1: The concept of Agile development methodology (Need different figure or table)

We focused on the following six principles out of the famous twelve agile principles for our development.

- Our highest priority is to meet user requirements through early and continuous delivery of working software, incrementally.
- We are always ready to adapt to the changes of requirements.
- Deliver products frequently with a shorter timescale.

- Face-to-face interaction is the most efficient and effective method of conveying information within a development team. Due to the pandemic, we met at least once a week in person and tried to use as many Zoom meetings as possible.
- Working released software is the primary measure of progress.
- Simplicity, the art of maximizing the amount of work not done, is essential.

#### **Team Organization**

The team meets in-person at least once a week to set goals and discuss new innovations and technologies to develop for the vehicle using the agile development concept. These meetings also provide a way to collaborate in creating new ideas and divide up tasks for the upcoming week. Each member was delegated to specific tasks by comfortability and interest. The team is comprised of all computer science students (see table 1). Each student puts around 5 to 15 hours a week coding, testing, or in meetings to accomplish the tasks set out for the semesters.

Name	Degree Program	Roles
James Golding	M.S. Computer Science	RTK integration, Lua script System, Web Server, e-Stop
Justin Dombecki	M.S. Computer Science	RTK integration, Lua script System, Web Server, e-Stop
Thomas Brefeld	M.S. Computer Science	GPS, Waypoint, cabin camera; LED panel
Joseph Schulte	B. S. Computer Science	Lidar and obstacle detection
Giuseppe "Joe"	M.S. Computer Science	Camera, Lane following, Stop sign detection, Drive-by-wire
DeRose		system, Simulation

Table 1: Team members and roles

# 3. MECHANICAL SYSTEMS

ACTor is built on top of a modified Polaris Gem e2 provided by joint sponsorship from MOBIS, DENSO, Realtime Technologies, and SoarTech. MOBIS provided the base vehicle, and Dataspeed Inc. installed a new X-by-wire system with discounted price. The old DBW system had to be completely replaced with a new system because of the safety recall of the Gem 2e vehicle. The provided system includes all hardware and a software abstraction layer to control it.

To ensure robustness of connections and components, computer and power delivery systems are mounted securely under the seats where they are difficult to kick. The camera is mounted with a 1/8in aluminum bracket kept as short as possible to provide sufficient rigidity. Components on the top of the vehicle are mounted to an 80/20 frame, and are both rigid and easy to remove for safe storage. Our VLP-16 lidar is mounted to an adjustable camera tripod to let us easily aim it. All components mounted outside the vehicle are waterproof and resistant to damage by rain.

#### **Vehicle Abilities**

The Polaris Gem 2 has a top speed of twenty miles per hour, and a range of approximately twenty miles. All sensors mounted outside the vehicle are weatherproof, and the doors and body of the Gem2 are sealed. The vehicle has been tested to go up a 30% grade without difficulty, and the suspension has been kept stock to the Gem 2 design.

#### **X-By-Wire**

The Polaris Gem 2 vehicle was updated with Dataspeed drive-by-wire hardware and the Dataspeed ADAS Development Vehicle Kit software. The software kit enables the vehicle to be driven using native or controlled

interfaces with ROS. For example, the user may drive the vehicle using native accelerator/brake pedal, steering wheel angle/torque and gear selection commands. Or, another option is to use a Dataspeed provided Universal Lateral/Longitudinal Controller (ULC). The ULC option allows users to provide linear and angular velocity targets for the vehicle and the controller modulates the accelerator/brake, steering and gear selection systems to achieve the desired response. ULC also provides a number of parameters to adjust the characteristics of the vehicle behavior, for example, targets for linear and angular acceleration for the controller behavior. Currently, our team is using the Dataspeed default parameters which targets a velocity dependent linear acceleration limit (0.9 - 1.2 m/s<sup>2</sup> for our operating conditions) and a constant deceleration target of 1.5 m/s<sup>2</sup>. An example of the linear velocity control for the GEM vehicle is provided later in this section.

The primary ROS interface to the Dataspeed ULC is a geometery\_msgs/twist message with additional parameters for modifying various control parameters. This simple interface allowed the team to easily integrate the ULC into the vehicle environment. Once the integration was completed, a series of twist commands were used to direct the vehicle acceleration, deceleration, yaw rate and gear selection (Forward or Drive). Figure 2 demonstrates the linear velocity control for the GEM vehicle using the default acceleration limits.

For this example the vehicle started a standstill and was requested to travel at 5 mi/hr. Once a 5 mi/hr steady state operation was achieved, the vehicle was commanded to stop. An important element of the ULC behavior is observed in this example: the concept of loose and tight tracking. The loose tracking of vehicle velocity is observed in the approximate ranges of 20 - 25 seconds and 35 - 38 seconds while tight tracking is observed in the 25 - 35 seconds range.



Figure 2: Dataspeed ULC linear velocity control example

Our team has found that that use of tight and loose tracking results in smooth acceleration behavior with very little overshoot.

# 4. ELECTRICAL SYSTEMS

#### **Computing Components**

Most of the computation happens on the Intel NUC. The Primary computer with AMD Ryzen 7 2700 Processor and GPU (ZOTAC GeForce GTX 1070 Ti MINI 8GB GDDR5) is for the Yolo [12] Deep Learning model to detect pedestrians as well as the pretrained model for alternative lane following. Raspberry PI 3s are used for hardware e-stop, remote e-stop, safety and status lights, and a LED panel.

#### **Sensory Components**

The system primarily uses a single Allied Vision Mako G-319C [2] power-over-Ethernet camera; it provides high image quality and enough field of view to capture the lanes while retaining enough resolution to detect signs. The 6mm 1stVision LE-MV3-0618-1 lens [3] at 1.8 full stops provides a 50 degrees field of view.

The car is equipped with a Velodyne VLP-16 "Puck" LIDAR [4] donated by Veoneer. The Puck is a small, compact 16 channel lidar, weighing less than two pounds. Its capture range is 360 degrees horizontally and 15 degrees vertically with a radius of 100 meters. It is able to output 300,000 points per second across its 16 channels. These data points represent points in space some distance from the lidar source.

The vehicle utilizes a Piksi Multi GNSS Module [5] to gather GPS and compass data for navigation. The module has centimeter-accurate positioning and fast update times. The fast and reliable data makes it well suited for a moving vehicle, where great distances will be covered over a small amount of time. The GPS module is used alongside a second Piksi used as a base station providing Real Time Kinematic (RTK), resulting in more accurate GPS information. Additional GPS Rover on the vehicle was installed to enable vehicle heading information.

#### **Connecting Components**

All systems of the car have been connected through either Ethernet and CAN buses instead of USB, as seen in Figure 3. Ethernet is preferable because of the superior robustness that it provides over USB. The main exception to this is the connection to the drive-by-wire (DBW) system, which is reliant on a single USB connection. This vehicle will automatically come to an emergency stop if it detects a malfunction of this connection.

The Vehicles E-Stop system is split into two parts. The first part is a closed hardware loop that runs through all the E-Stop buttons. This loop starts and terminates at a Raspberry Pi. Should any of the buttons be hit, the circuit will be open and the pin on the Pi will read low. The pi will then send a signal to the Intel Nuc through ethernet. The NUC will then safely stop the vehicle.



#### Figure 3: All sensors except cabin camera and control nodes are connected to a local network through Ethernet via a switch or CAN via a CAN Bus. Solid connections indicate physical cable connections. Dashed connections indicate wireless communication.

#### **Power Connections**

All electrical energy on the vehicle is supplied by four deep cycle absorbent glass mat batteries connected in series. A single 12V connection is taken from one battery to supply power to the control systems. All low power 12V devices are run off of a large power delivery panel, which can individually enable/disable connections through a touch screen. A 1000W inverter is also mounted and connected directly to the 12V supply connection for easy charging of laptop batteries. This is primarily for ease of use, and is very useful while developing. The switch has four power over ethernet connections, currently used to supply power to the E-Stop computer (Raspberry Pi), and vision camera (Mako G-319C). The described power connections are shown in Figure 4.

The majority of energy is spent accelerating the vehicle, and relatively little power is spent powering control components. A full charge will take six to eight hours, and can travel twenty miles. The inverter is >90% efficient, and represents a low power loss compared to the main computer.



Figure 4: Vehicle, sensor, and components power distribution

# **Cost of Hardware Components**

Item	Price
Polaris GEM e2 vehicle with various options such as doors and trunk	\$15,000.00
New Polaris GEM ADAS Systems (Drive-By-Wire systems by Dataspeed) including installation fee with discount as a sponsorship	\$35,000.00
Intel NUC Mini PC kit NUC7i5BNH Core i5	\$543.00
Additional NUC (as a backup)	\$543.00
Velodyne VLP-16 "PUCK" 3D LiDAR, 16 beams	\$7,999.00
Swift GPS, Piksi Multi GNSS	\$1,644.56
Additional rover module and antenna to get GPS heading info	\$896.00
Mako PoE Camera	\$1,031.00
Main Computer with GPU	\$1,800.00
Miscellaneous items including Lenses, wireless e-stop, LED lights, on-board touch screen monitor,	\$2,300.00

bluetooth keyboard, cabin camera, RPIs, inverters, and LED panel system	
Total	\$66,756.56

#### Table 2: Estimated cost of ACTor vehicle

# 5. SOFTWARE SYSTEMS

### **Requirements and Design Goals**

ACTor's software is designed and implemented with several requirements in mind. First, the software should follow the design principles set in place by Robot Operating System (ROS). That is, the software should be as distributed and modular as possible [6]. Second, the software should be able to be developed and modified quickly. Since ACTor is primarily a research vehicle, implementing new ideas or research projects should be simple. Finally, the software should be built in such a way that its inputs and outputs are interchangeable. This enables the software to be quickly tested and allows for smooth implementation of new hardware and software.

#### **Architecture and Design Strategies**



Figure 5: Basic data flow through core packages

The system is divided into several independent but connected packages: sensors, input processing, route input, route system, web API, and RTK. Figure 5 shows a high level overview of what is contained within each of these packages.

#### Sensors

The sensors package is a collection of sensor driver publisher nodes and configuration files. Each sensor such as GPS, lidar, or camera has its own node or nodes that are responsible for converting data into usable formats and publishing information onto the ROS network. The system provides abstraction of data through placing the responsibility of reading and converting the data in the input packages, which provide clean data structures to the

nodes that control the vehicle's logic. By separating the sensor package into multiple nodes, the system becomes very maintainable giving the ability to add and remove sensors easily.

#### **Input Processing**

The input processing package cleans and prepares the data for the route input package. Currently the nodes within this package are to take the camera data received from the camera node. The package is responsible for applying white balance, gaussian blur, and other OpenCV algorithms to make the camera data usable for the route input package.

#### **Route Input**

The route input package takes the sensor data either directly from the sensors or from the image processing package and transforms it into usable data to be fed into our route system. The importance of the route input package is that it provides as much information to the route system as possible, but allows the route system to subscribe and unsubscribe from each node. Each node within the route input package will only process sensor data if the route system is subscribed to it. Many of the nodes are computationally heavy so it's important to make sure they are running while the route system needs them.

The route input package contains our lane centering algorithm called "blob", obstacle maps for avoidance and emergency monitoring, detection of stop signs, one way sign, stop line, and potholes, GPS follow, and parking maneuvers. Each of these nodes can be combined together to accomplish the many tasks and functions the ACTor vehicle will be performing.

#### Route System & Lua Scripting

The vehicle is entered into IGVC Spec2/Self-Drive each year, and in order to make competition go smoothly, we decided to try to remove the long compile times when tweaking our code. To do this, we structured our navigation around a script parser, enabling us to make most tweaks only to the scripts instead of the C++ code.

The "Router" node outputs a Twist movement command. It will either elect to forward a twist topic from another specialized navigation node, or will send a chosen constant topic. The router continuously runs a selected Lua script that contains all the logic of the navigation logic to make these decisions. This script has Lua functions that read/publish ROS std\_msgs, specify what topic to forward, decide what constant twist command to send, activate the estop, get the local obstacles, check the current waypoint target, and the ability to talk to many RTK components [11]. Figure 6 shows how the Route Server is implemented and Lua scripts are executed.



Figure 6: Implementation of Router Server

Our system is centered around the router, and it's primary goal is to feed the route script as much data as possible. In Figure 5 diagram, the RTK system is shown as a single block, as it is thoroughly documented already. The "RTK restricted module" is a single Git patch file that adds functionality to the route node to read information from the RTK system, allowing the route system to be open sourced with the patch kept secure.



Figure 7 shows a Lua script example to keep following the lane and stop at the stop sign.

Figure 7: A Lua script example to keep following the lane and stop at the stop sign

Web API





The ACTor vehicle receives routing data and displays useful diagnostic information through a custom designed web page hosted on the main computer. The website is written in JavaScript using the React and Node

frameworks along with Bootstrap CSS. By using these frameworks, new componentes can be added to the server without having to modify the entire page or write complex css to make everything work together nicely. The website displays a live feed of the "blob" nodes output allowing viewers to see where the lane centering algorithm is trying to center the car. The route system takes input from the web server. The input consists of an editable text field full of the current route the car is going to take. This allows rapid development of new routes and the ability to easily edit older routes. Figure 8 shows an example of the web interface.



#### **Robotics Technology Kernel (RTK)**



In order to make the best use of the limited preparation time for IGVC and improve the RTK system as much as possible, we will be focusing on a limited subset of the RTK system as shown in Figure 9. Each node represents a package within the RTK system. The green packages are the packages that were viable to be integrated into the ACTor system, while the red packages did not fit anywhere in our current software design. We combined the existing design and implementation of the ACTor navigation system with the existing RTK navigation system. The ACTor navigation system is robust, extensible, and has proven itself useful by playing a primary role in completing all the IGVC tasks in 2019.

An RTK Position Solution setup (base station/multi antennae rover configuration) was developed. In this configuration, the Piksi outputs in rtk fix high precision mode with accuracy of the robot from the base station typically on the order of several centimeters. The base station transmits GNSS correction data over a radio link to the rover. One of the rover antennae provided position and the other robot orientation. This was key in our RTK implementation as Actor does not have the normal means of providing accurate position or orientation data.

Additionally, the Piksi publishes sensor\_msgs/NavSatFix.msg while RTK expects a gps\_common/GPSFix.msg. The gps\_umd package from SwRI was used to handle the translation between gps coordinate frames.

Use the lidar drivers and velodyne\_ll and velodyne\_hl packages and perhaps RTK costmaps.

#### Lane Following

Lane detection and centering is combined into a single algorithm nicknamed "blob," designed specifically for early use in this project [7, 9] until it can be integrated into the obstacle avoidance subsystem. It leverages OpenCV to do the processing on a color image.

The algorithm begins by running one of a few methods of edge detection on the image, which are interchangeable at run-time. These include Canny edge detection (grayscale or color), adaptive threshold, and the Sobel operator. Most of the time the Canny (color) method is used.

Next, a Hough transform is run on the edges to detect lines. Only lines within forty-five degrees of vertical are accepted. This is done to avoid detecting horizontal edges from stop lines and zebra-stripes. These lines are then extended and drawn on their own image for the final "blob" processing.

Finally, a point  $C_V$  (see Figure 10) is chosen to be just above the center of the front bumper. Twenty to one-hundred probe lines are sent out in a fan at even intervals between left and right above horizontal. When these probes find a pixel that has been filled by a Hough line, the distance and angle are recorded. If a probe does not find a line, the edge of the image is used. Each probe is then modeled as a spring to push or pull on the initial point toward the center of the lane  $C_L$ . The horizontal component of this force is used as steering input for the vehicle. The nominal distance and force of the modeled springs is tuned for the vehicle.



Figure 10: The "blob" algorithm uses simulated springs to push the vehicle's center  $C_V$  toward the center of the lane  $C_L$ . Thicker lines represent more compression and therefore more influence on the direction the point will move.

### Alternative Lane Following Method using Deep Learning



Figure 11: Data acquisition and model training

Figure 12: Integration and utilizing the trained model.

As an alternative way to follow the lane instead of the hand-crafted algorithm explained in the previous section, we have developed a deep neural network based method to teach vehicles to steer themselves [10]. An on-board program was developed to create a labeled dataset for the ACTor vehicle by pairing real world images taken during a drive with the associated steering wheel angle. We trained a model end to end using deep learning techniques including convolutional neural networks and transfer learning to automatically detect relevant features in the input and provide a predicted output.

We currently use a pretrained Inception3 network where the logistic layers specific to the ImageNet vision problem were removed and replaced with our own fully connected 1024 neurons followed by a linear regression neuron to predict the steering wheel angle. The model was trained end to end using Adam optimizer to minimize the Mean Squared Error of predicted outputs on a cloud system. The trained model is integrated with vehicle software to read image data and provide a corresponding angle to steer the vehicle in real time. Testing using the trained model shows that the vehicle is able to follow the lane reliably. Figure 11 shows how the labeled dataset is acquired and the model is trained. Figure 12 shows the concept of how the trained model is integrated with the vehicle and used to steer the vehicle. The trained model is to read image data and provide a corresponding steering angle in real time.

#### **Obstacle Detection and Resolution**

The obstacle avoidance package currently uses input from the VLP-16. Using functionality built into the TARDEC RTK system a ground and obstacle pointcloud are generated from the VLP-16's input.

The current implementation of obstacle avoidance checks regions defined by parameters as shown in Figure 13. These regions are published to the route system, which determines the action to be taken. If an obstacle is within an emergency region, the vehicle will halt. If it is far ahead in the road, it may execute an avoidance maneuver or halt depending on the scenario. The obstacle detection node allows for detection of objects in arbitrarily defined spaces. This allows different events to occur based on which detection region the object is in.



Figure 13: How to define detection region

#### **Pedestrian Detection**

For human detection, we use an efficient pre-trained deep neural network architecture called YOLO [12] which is freely available based on common datasets. Using this model, it can quickly and accurately identify any person in its vision. This is sufficient to estimate their location and enable simple interactions, like stopping avoiding. Even though the YOLO is an extremely efficient architecture, it still needs to be run on a GPU to have the throughput and latency we need. Therefore, we are using the primary computer with a GPU. Figure 14 shows the detection of people on a test course.



Figure 14: An example of detecting people

#### **Sign Detection**

The sign detection algorithm uses color filtering along with HAAR classifiers in order to detect signs based on shape and coloring. Thousands of images were used to train the HAAR classifiers used. The algorithm allows for fast recognition of signs in varying environments. Figure 15 shows examples of detecting a stop sign on campus.



Figure 15: An example of detecting a stop sign

### 6. LED Panel System

The LED Panel System is called ROS River which will display information about the ROS system to the user via a LED display (See Figure 16). ROS River runs on a Raspberry PI 3B and is connected via ethernet to the main ROS core. The display used for the project can be scaled to any size using the WS2812B LEDs. Once powered, ROS River will begin to run the application which subscribes to certain ROS topics to determine what to display. One such topic is "display/text" which when published will display the data directly. The application also has the capability to run in an "auto" mode which will determine what to display based on information from the topic "rosout". This mode is useful to determine errors within the ROS core.

The use of ROS core is to facilitate information to individuals outside the vehicle of the current objective. With the information displayed over the ROS River system, individuals will be able to determine the current state of the vehicle to ensure understanding of objectives and issues. Without the display only the individuals inside the vehicle would know of issues and the current tasks.



Figure 16: LED Panel system "River"

# 7. SAFETY

#### **Safety Considerations**

There are several safety measures taken into account with ACTor's hardware and software revolving around an external "emergency monitor" (EM). The EM is a Raspberry Pi connected via the ROS network. The ACTor software is unable to directly control the vehicle and must do so via the emergency monitor (see Figure 17). The software sends a motion command to the EM which validates max speed, max turn radius, etc. and then forwards the command to the vehicle via the "host" node. Along with motion validation, the EM also monitors the lidar node and E-Stop subsystem for emergency signals. If a signal is received, it issues a stop command immediately. Additional precautions were taken to prevent EM and E-Stop failures. If the EM loses power, is disconnected from the network, or sends invalid data, the host node will send a blocking stop command within 200ms. Since the E-Stop requires an active external hardware signal, any malfunction of the E-Stop subsystem will also issue a

stop command within 200ms.

A safety light is also attached to the vehicle. The safety light will flash whenever the vehicle is in autonomous mode.



Figure 17: Emergency Monitor for Safety

#### eStop Performance

There is minimal latency between the beginning of an eStop event to the stopping of the vehicle. Figure 18 above shows the vehicle speed during an eStop event. In this example, the vehicle was traveling at 5 mi/mr and the system was given a configurable target deceleration of  $1.5 \text{ m/s}^2$  deceleration. Please note that due to delays in the closed looped system, the average deceleration is approximately  $0.75 \text{ m/s}^2$ .



Figure 18 - The vehicle speed during an eStop event. The eStop was triggered at 36 seconds. The vehicle came to rest at approximately 39 seconds.

### **Failure Points**

The following table 3 summarizes each failure point with risk level and description how to resolve.

Failure Point	Туре	Risk	Resolution
Loss of Power	Hardware	Very Low	Autonomous mode is automatically deactivated and safety driver takes control. Primary computer (powered by battery) reports error.
Switch or Network Malfunction	Hardware	Low	Primary computer is unable to contact external safety monitor and issues an E-Stop command within 200ms.

Inverter Malfunction	Hardware	Low	Primary computer is unable to contact the external safety monitor due to loss of power and issues an E-Stop command within 200ms.
Raspberry Pi (Safety Monitor) malfunction	Hardware	Low	Primary computer detect irregularity in external safety monitor and issues E-Stop command within 200ms.
E-Stop malfunction	Hardware	Very Low	E-stop requires an active signal, if interrupted, the vehicle executes stop command within 200ms.
Camera Malfunction	Hardware	Low	Computer displays disconnection error. If needed, driver may E-Stop the vehicle.
Lidar Malfunction	Hardware	Low	Computer displays disconnection error. If needed, driver may E-Stop the vehicle.
GPS Malfunction	Hardware	Low	Computer displays disconnection error. If needed, driver may E-Stop the vehicle.
Camera is unable to determine lane lines	Software	Medium	Verify camera calibration before runs
A ROS node crashes	Software	Medium	<ul> <li>Depending on which node crashes one of two things will happen:</li> <li>1. Non-critical: The node is automatically relaunched by the system</li> <li>2. Critical: The primary computer issues a stop command within 200ms</li> </ul>
Invalid actions or routes are received.	Software	Low	Navigation immediately enters a paused state and halts route execution.

Table 3: Failure points and modes

# 8. SIMULATION AND PROTOTYPING

Simulation and prototyping is accomplished using Gazebo robotics simulator [8]. Gazebo offers two advantages over traditional prototyping methods. First, it models specific sensors, such as a specific model of a lidar system, and also allows for the specific dimensions of the vehicle itself to be modeled. Just like physical sensors and motors, these simulated models function as independent nodes within the ROS architecture, sending and receiving the exact same data objects within the ROS network. This means that simulated sensor output can be fed to the same sensor input nodes and navigation/control nodes as the physical systems, and likewise the output from these nodes can be sent to the simulated vehicle. The physical vehicle and sensor nodes can be swapped with the gazebo nodes transparently to the primary autonomous vehicle software in order to conduct more realistic testing – quickly and conveniently on our laptops.

Second, gazebo provides a simulated world in which the robot can operate, complete with gravity, friction, momentum, light, color, and many other physical properties that allow for a rich testing environment.

Testing algorithms and other concepts is achieved relatively quickly within the simulation. Examples include testing a camera vision lane following algorithm using the simulated camera on the vehicle model driving over a variety of ground images, experimenting with point cloud data from the 3D LiDAR system, and testing work with object detection and avoidance.

The team also designed and implemented a two-dimensional simulation capability to support the development and testing of the vehicle control algorithms. The simulation package, called simple\_sim, was developed to execute as a ROS node that may replace the vehicle to promote the development of sensing and control strategies in a virtual environment. In addition, the "two-dimensional" implementation requires low computational power when compared to three-dimensional simulation environments. The simple\_sim package supports kinematic models of akermann steer or differential steer robots, a pinhole camera model and an ideal lidar model. The ground plane is supplied to the simulation environment as an image and circular and rectangular obstructions may be added to simulate lidar directions. The figure 19 below is a snapshot of simple\_sim being used to test an sensing and control algorithm to complete the IGVC F13 - Curved Road Evaluation - Lane Changing goal. In this example, the team was able to test various lidar sensing algorithms to detect the obstruction and determine how the obstruction avoidance algorithm would interact with the lane centering algorithm virtually. This virtual simulation capability assisted in minimizing the amount of physical testing required to validate the system performance.



Figure 19: Simulated vehicle, camera and lidar in simple\_sim to test obstruction avoidance

# 9. PERFORMANCE TESTING & ASSESSMENT

Due to the modular nature of the software architecture (see Software Systems section) all functions (nodes) can be tested both independently and with any combination of other nodes. All nodes are thoroughly tested on the field and during development. Integration tests are also performed by creating specific situations for the vehicle to perform on. At the time of publication, most of the nodes have been tested thoroughly and several integration tests have been completed.



Figure 20: Setup of a test course on campus

The team has tested most IGVC functions on a test course setup on campus. See Figure 20. There are no major performance issues with the vehicle's mechanical, electrical, or physical hardware to date.

# **10. INNOVATIONS & SUMMARY OF RESULTS**

The ACTor project was designed to be a research environment for students interested in autonomous software development. For that reason the project was designed to be modular, incremental, and dynamic meaning that software modules are easy to switch out, add, or remove allowing rapid prototyping and development. Testing results show that the ACTor vehicle is capable of performing IGVC Self-Drive challenges. The design spawned several research projects involving software engineering, machine vision, and deep learning. Innovations we achieved include:

- Lua script language to specify vehicle behaviors in high level
- LED panel system to display messages and system status code for debugging
- Training a Deep neural network to teach the vehicle to steer itself
- Human detection using Yolo
- Simple and tiny 2D simulator that can be running even on virtualbox

# REFERENCES

- [1] 2019 IGVC ACTor Design Report, <u>http://www.igvc.org/design/2019/36.pdf</u>, accessed 06-01-2021
- [2] Mako g-319, accessed 06-01-2021, https://www.edmundoptics.com/p/allied-vision-mako-g-319-1-18-inch-color-cmos-camera/33094
- [3] 1stvision 1" 2 to 3 megapixel oem lens series, https://www.1stvision.com/lens/spec/1stVision/LE-MV3-0618-1, accessed 06-01-2021
- [4] Velodyne puck, <u>http://velodynelidar.com/vlp-16.html</u>, accessed 06-01-2021
- [5] Swift navigation piksi multi gnss, <u>https://www.swiftnav.com/piksi-multi</u>, accessed 06-01-2021
- [6] M. Quigley, K. Conley, B. Gerkey, J. Faust, T. Foote, J. Leibs, R. Wheeler, and A. Y. Ng, "ROS: an open-source robot operating system," in ICRA workshop on open source software, vol.3, no. 3.2. Kobe, 2009, p. 5.
- [7] N. Paul and C. Chung, "Application of HDR algorithms to solve direct sunlight problems when autonomous vehicles using machine vision systems are driving into sun," Computers in Industry, vol. 98, pp. 192–196, 2018.
- [8] B. Warrick "Development of LTU ACTor (Autonomous Campus TranspORt) Vehicle Model (Polaris GEM e2) using ROS GAZEBO," 2018, <u>http://qbx6.ltu.edu/mcs/ClassProjectAwards/1718/ACTor\_Simulation.pdf</u>
- [9] Paul, N., Pleune, M., Chung, C., Faulkner, C., Warrick, B., Bleicher, S., A Practical, Modular, and Adaptable Autonomous Vehicle Research Platform, IEEE International Conference on Electro Information Technology 2018
- [10] Ian Timmis, Nicholas Paul, Chan-Jin Chung, Teaching Vehicles to Steer Themselves with Deep Learning, 2021 IEEE International Conference on Electro/Information Technology, May 14 - 15, 2021, Central Michigan University, Mount Pleasant, MI
- [11] Mitchell Pleune, Nicholas Paul, Charles Faulkner, Chan-Jin Chung, Specifying Route Behaviors of Self-Driving Vehicles in ROS Using Lua Scripting Language with Web Interface, 2020 IEEE International Conference on Electro/Information Technology
- [12] Redmon, Joseph et al. "YOLOv3: An Incremental Improvement". arXiv. (2018)